(*c*) The member is referred to the answer to parts (*d*) and (*e*) of question No. 122 (2) of Rev. Fr. Kuriakose Vettikkapalli, given on 25th November, 1922 and published on page 413 of Vol. II of the *Travancore Legislative Council Proceedings.*

MR. X. G. LOPEZ (*Nominated*): Regarding the answer to part (*d*) of this question, may I know what are the southern and northern limits of Kadiapattanam so far as the lease of lime-shells is concerned?

MR. N. NILAKANTA PILLAI (*Secretary to Government on other duty*): To give an answer to this question, the lease deed has te be looked into; so, I claim notice.

MR. X. G. LOPEZ (*Nominated*): May I know what are the northern and southern limits of Vizhinjam?

MR. N. NILAKANTA PILLAI (*Secretary to Government on other duty*): I give the same answer.

MR. X. G. LOPEZ (*Nominated*): Are the limits embodied in the instrument of the lease?

MR. N. NILAKANTA PILLAI (*Secretary to Government on other duty*): Yes, the lease deed describes them.

MR. X. G. LOPEZ (*Nominated*): Are the limits the same now as they were at the commencement of the lease arrangement?

MR. N. NILAKANTA PILLAI (*Secretary to Government on other duty*): That was years back?

MR. X. G. LOPEZ (*Nominated*): Yes, at the commencement of the lease arrangement, were the limits the same or have they undergone any change?

MR. N. NILAKANTA PILLAI (*Secretary to Government on other duty*): I cannot say just at present.

MR. X. G. LOPEZ (*Nominated*): Is Yenayom included within the boundaries of Kadiapattanam?

MR. N. NILAKANTA PILLAI (*Secretary to Government on other duty*): The lease deed has to be consulted before an answer is given.

Prohibition Order on Mr. M. E. Naidu.

49. **MR. A. K. PILLAI** (*Karunagapalli*): (1) Do the Government know that the prohibition order against Mr. M. E. Naidu of Kottar is still remaining?

(2) Will the Government be pleased to state the reasons for this delay?

(3) Will the Government be pleased to recall the assurance given to this House in answer to a question on the same subject put by the member for Karunagapalli in the last session?

(4) Will the Government be pleased to say what they propose to do?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

MR. K. NARAYANAN PANDALAY (*Ag. Chief Secretary to Government*): The member is referred to the answer to the interpellation asked by Mr. M. Sivatanu Pillai.*

MR. A. K. PILLAI (*Karunagapalli*): Are the Government in a position to say whether the order has been communicated to Mr. Naidu?

MR. K. NARAYANAN PANDALAY (*Ag. Chief Secretary to Government*): The order was communicated to the District Magistrate. It must have been communicated to Mr. Naidu by him.

MR. A. K. PILLAI (*Karunagapalli*): Are the Government in a position to say definitely that it was communicated to Mr. Naidu?

MR. K. NARAYANAN PANDALAY (*Ag. Chief Secretary to Government*): I am not in a position to say that now.

Scheme for the Improvement of the Salt Industry.

50. MR. C. P. TARAKAN (*Kunnatnad*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) whether Mr. G. Nilakantan, Assistant Commissioner in charge of the Salt Factories, has submitted any scheme for the improvement of the salt industry; and,

(*b*) if so, whether they will be pleased to place on the table the scheme together with the Excise Commissioner's proposals and the orders passed by the Government thereon?

MR. N. NILAKANTA PILLAI (*Secretary to Government on other duty*):

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The proposals made by Mr. Nilakantan, with the Excise Commissioner's suggestions involving structural work, are under examination by the Chief Engineer. The latter has been requested to send up his report without delay. Government think that no useful purpose will be served by placing the correspondence on the table at this stage.

Divesting of Tahsildars of Magisterial work.

51. MR. C. P. TARAKAN (*Kunnatnad*): Will the Government be pleased to state whether they are considering the question of relieving the Tahsildars of the magisterial powers now exercised by them?

*The question and answer are not included as the member was absent at question time. They are reproduced hereunder:—

Prohibition Order on Mr. M. E. Naidu.

MR. M. SIVATANU PILLAI (*Tovala*): Are the Government aware (*a*) that an order of prohibition from participating in any public meeting was served on Mr. M. E. Naidu, the Medical Practitioner of Kottar, in connection with the Vaikam *Satyagraha* movement;

(*b*) that such order continues in force in the Trivandrum District while it has been revoked in the Quilon District by a recent Government Notification; and

(*c*) whether the Government propose to revoke such order in the Trivandrum District as well, in view of the fact that the object of the Vaikam *Satyagraha* has been almost attained?

MR. K. NARAYANAN PANDALAY (*Ag. Chief Secretary to Government*):

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) No. It has been revoked by an order to the District Magistrate, Trivandrum, vide G.O., R. O. C. No. 563 of 24/Judl., dated the 22nd August, 1925.

(*c*) This question does not arise in view of the answer to part (*b*).

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly